arXiv:1211.3775v1 [math.AG] 16 Nov 2012

Rigidity theorem for presheaves with Ω-transfers

Alexander Neshitov

Abstract

In 1983 A. Suslin proved the Quillen-Lichtenbaum conjecture about algebraic K-theory of algebraically closed fields. The proof was based on a theorem called the Suslin rigidity theorem. In the present paper we prove the rigidity theorem for homotopy invariant presheaves with Ω-transfers, introduced by I. Panin. This type of presheaves includes the K-functor and algebraic cobordism of M. Levine and F. Morel.

Keywords: rigidity theorem, presheaves with transfers, cohomology theories. MSC2000: 14F43

1 Introduction

The original rigidity theorem of A. Suslin can be formulated as follows [12]:

Let $k_0 \subseteq k$ be an extension of algebraically closed fields. Then for every prime p different from char F, the canonical map

$$K_n(k_0, \mathbb{Z}/p) \to K_n(k, \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

is an isomorphism, where K_n is the n-th algebraic K-theory. In later works of H. Gillet, R. Thomason [4] and O. Gabber [3] analogous results were obtained for the strict Henselization of a local ring of a closed point on a smooth variety, and for Henselian pairs. Further steps were made by I. Panin, S. Yagunov and J. Hornbostel ([10], [15], [6]), and O. Röndings and P. Østvær [13]. All known proofs are based on the existence of transfers and on homotopy invariance of K-groups. In the paper by A. Suslin and V. Voevodsky [14], K-groups were replaced by algebraic singular homology groups. Their main result states that for a variety over the complex numbers, the algebraic singular homology groups with finite coefficients coincide with usual singular homology.

The following conjecture about complex cobordism, due to V. Voevodsky, is of special interest:

$$MGL^{i,0}(\mathbb{C})/nMGL^{i,0}(\mathbb{C}) = \Omega^U_{-2i}/n\Omega^U_{-2i},$$

where MGL denotes the algebraic cobordism spectrum theory and Ω^U denotes the complex cobordism.

Recently G. Garkusha and I. Panin have developed an approach to solve this conjecture, which requires a rigidity theorem for the so- called homotopy-invariant presheaves $\mathcal{F}$ with Ω-transfers, as well as the fact that $\mathcal{F}(S) = \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k)$, where S is a Henselization (at a closed point) of a smooth variety over k.

In the present paper we prove the rigidity theorem for homotopy invariant presheaves with Ω-transfers. Namely, we prove the following

1.1 Theorem. *Let k be an algebraically closed field, $y \in Y$ be a closed point of a nonsingular variety over k, and $S = Spec\ \mathcal{O}^{et}_{Y,y}$ a spectrum of a local ring in étale topology. Let $\mathfrak{X}/S$ be a smooth affine curve over S which admits a good compactification (see 2.11) and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a homotopy invariant presheaf with Ω-transfers such that $n\mathcal{F} = 0$, where $(n, char\ k) = 1$.*

Then for any two sections $x, y \colon S \to \mathfrak{X}$ coinciding in the closed point of S, the two maps $\mathcal{F}(x), \mathcal{F}(y) \colon \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathcal{F}(S)$ coincide.

As a consequence we prove the following

1.2 Corollary. *For the closed point embedding $\imath \colon Spec\ k \to S$, the induced pullback map $\mathcal{F}(\imath) \colon \mathcal{F}(S) \to \mathcal{F}(k)$ is an isomorphism.*

The present paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the notion of a homotopy invariant presheaf with Ω-transfers. In sections 3 and 4 we provide preliminary results which will be used in the proof of the main theorem. In section 5 we prove the rigidity theorem and its corollary. In the last section 6 we provide non-trivial examples of homotopy invariant presheaves with Ω-transfers.

2 Presheaves with Ω-transfers

In the present section we introduce the notion of a presheaf with Ω-transfers. This will take several steps.

Consider the base field k. Denote by $\mathbf{Sch}_k$ the category of separated schemes of finite type over k, and by $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ its full subcategory of smooth quasi-projective schemes over k.

2.1 Definition. By an elementary triple $X \overset{f}{\leftarrow} Z \overset{g}{\rightarrow} Y$ we call a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} & Z \arrow[dl, "f"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & \\ X & & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

where $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ $Z \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ is a scheme over k and $f \colon Z \to X$ is finite flat surjective locally complete intersection (l.c.i) morphism of k-schemes and g is an arbitrary morphism of k-schemes.

2.2 Remark. Since X is smooth over k, the structure morphism $Z \to \text{Spec}\ k$ is an l.c.i. morphism, so Z is an l.c.i. scheme. This implies that g is also an l.c.i. morphism ([2], B.7)

2.3 Definition. Two triples $X \xleftarrow{f} Z \xrightarrow{g} Y$ and $X \xleftarrow{f'} Z' \xrightarrow{g'} Y$ are equivalent, iff there is an isomorphism $\alpha\colon Z \to Z'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Z \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[dl, "f"'] \arrow[drr, "g"'] & Z' \arrow[dll, "f'"] \arrow[dr, "g'"] & \\
X & & & Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

Denote by $T(X, Y)$ the set of equivalence classes of elementary triples $(X \leftarrow Z \to Y)$. Further we will mean by a triple an element of $T(X, Y)$.

2.4 Definition. Composition of triples $X \xleftarrow{f_1} Z_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} Y$ and $Y \xleftarrow{f_2} Z_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} W$ is defined as the triple $X \xleftarrow{f_1 \circ \pi_1} Z_1 \times_Y Z_2 \xrightarrow{g_2 \circ \pi_2} W$ where $\pi_i\colon Z_1 \times_Y Z_2 \to Z_i$ is the corresponding projection, $i = 1, 2$.

2.5 Definition. A sum of two triples $X \xleftarrow{f} Z \xrightarrow{g} Y$ and $X \xleftarrow{f'} Z' \xrightarrow{g'} Y$ is defined as the triple $X \xleftarrow{f \coprod f'} Z \coprod Z' \xrightarrow{g \coprod g'} Y$

Note that sum is compatible with the composition of triples. Note that $X \leftarrow \emptyset \to Y$ is a zero element and the set $T(X, Y)$ can be endowed with a structure of a commutative monoid.

2.6 Definition. Ω-category is a category $\mathcal{C}$ defined as follows: $Ob\,\mathcal{C}$ are smooth varieties over k and for any two smooth varieties X and Y we define $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) = G(T(X, Y))$ to be the Grothendieck group of the commutative monoid $T(X, Y)$.

Note that Ω-category $\mathcal{C}$ is a preadditive category with direct sum given by disjoint union, since $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1 \coprod X_2, Y) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X_1, Y) \oplus Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X_2, Y)$.

2.7 Remark. There is an inclusion $\mathbf{Sm}_k \to \mathcal{C}$ sending each morphism $f\colon X \to Y$ to the element of $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ defined by the triple $(X \xleftarrow{id} X \xrightarrow{f} Y)$.

2.8 Definition. A presheaf with Ω-transfers (or, shorter, Ω-presheaf) is an additive functor $\mathcal{F}\colon \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathbf{Ab}$ such that the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(X \coprod Y) \to \mathcal{F}(X) \oplus \mathcal{F}(Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

2.9 Definition. For any Ω-presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ and any $\mathbf{Sm}_k$-pro-object $Y = \lim_i X_i$, $X_i \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, define $\mathcal{F}(Y) = \operatorname{colim}_i \mathcal{F}(X_i)$.

2.10 Definition. We call Ω-presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ homotopy invariant iff for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ the canonical map $\mathcal{F}(p)\colon \mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathcal{F}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1_k)$ is an isomorphism, where $p\colon X \times \mathbb{A}^1_k \to X$ is the projection.

2.11 Definition. Let S be a local scheme, $\mathfrak{X} \to S$ be an element of $\mathbf{Sm}_S$ of relative dimension 1. We will say that $\mathfrak{X}$ admits a good compactification, if there is an open embedding $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$, where $\pi : \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to S$ is a smooth S-projective scheme of relative dimension 1, and $\mathfrak{X}_\infty = \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \mathfrak{X}$ is finite and étale over S.

3 Some generic position results

In this section we provide some generic position results needed for the section 4. The results of this section are derived mostly from the Bertini theorem.

3.1 Notation. Throughout this section we will use the following notation:

- k is an algebraically closed field,
- $S = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ is a regular Henselian local scheme over k such that the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$ coincides with k,
- 0 denotes the closed point of S,
- $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbf{Sm}_S$ is a scheme of relative dimension 1, such that $\mathfrak{X}$ admits a good compactification $\pi : \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to S$ (see 2.11) and denote $\mathfrak{X}_\infty = \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \mathfrak{X}$,
- $X = \mathfrak{X} \times_S 0$ and $\overline{X} = \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \times_S 0$.

3.2 Lemma. *For any $a \in \mathcal{O}$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}[z_1, \dots z_n]$ denote by $\overline{a}$ the residue of a in k and by $\overline{f}$ the residue of f in $k[z_1, \dots z_n]$. Let $x_1, \dots x_k \colon S \to \mathbb{A}^n_S$ be S-points of $\mathbb{A}^n_S$ such that $\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_1}$ are pairwise distinct in $\mathbb{A}^n_k$, $f \in k[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ and $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $f(\overline{x_i}) = \overline{b_i}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$.*

Then there is $F \in \mathcal{O}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ such that $\overline{F} = f$ and $F(x_i) = b_i$.

Proof. Write $x_i = (x_{i(1)} \dots x_{i(n)})$. Since all $\overline{x_i}$ are pairwise distinct, we may assume that all $\overline{x_{i(1)}}$ are pairwise distinct (otherwise we may change the coordinate system). For a multi-index $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots \lambda_n)$ denote by $z^\lambda = z_1^{\lambda_1} \dots z_n^{\lambda_n}$. Then we can write $f = \sum k_\lambda z^\lambda$. Condition $f(x_i) = \overline{b_i}$ means that $\sum k_\lambda \overline{x_i}^\lambda = \overline{b_i}$.

Let us find a_λ in $\mathcal{O}$ such that $\overline{a_\lambda} = k_\lambda$ for any multi-index λ and for any natural $i \leqslant k$ we have $\sum a_\lambda x_i^\lambda = b_i$. This is a linear system for a_λ. We rewrite it in the following way

$$a_{0\dots0} + a_{1\dots0} x_{i(1)} + \dots + a_{k-1\dots0} x_{i(1)}^{k-1} = b_i - \sum_{\lambda \notin \{(j, \dots 0) \mid 0 \leqslant j < k\}} a_\lambda x_i^\lambda \qquad (1)$$

Now for any $\lambda \notin \{(j, \dots 0) \mid 0 \leqslant j < k\}$ we set a_λ to be any lift of k_λ. Thus we get a linear system for $a_{0\dots0}$, $a_{1,0\dots0}$, $\dots$, $a_{k-1,0\dots0}$ with the square matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1, x_{1(1)}, x_{1(1)}^2 \dots x_{1(1)}^{k-1} \\ \dots \\ 1, x_{k(1)}, x_{k(1)}^2 \dots x_{k(1)}^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

This is Vandermonde matrix, $\det A = \prod_{i<j} (x_{i(1)} - x_{j(1)})$. Since all $\overline{x_{i(1)}}$ are pairwise distinct, $\det A$ does not lie in the maximal ideal of local ring $\mathcal{O}$. Then A is invertible. Then there exist a unique solution $a_{0\dots0}, a_{1,0\dots0} \dots, a_{k-1,0\dots0}$ of the linear system (1).

Note that then $\overline{a_{0\dots0}}, \overline{a_{1,0\dots0}} \dots, \overline{a_{k-1,0\dots0}}$ is a solution of the system

$$\overline{a_{0\dots0}} + \overline{a_{1\dots0}}\ \overline{x_{i(1)}} + \dots + \overline{a_{k-1\dots0}}\ \overline{x_{i(1)}}^{k-1} = \overline{b_i} - \sum_{\lambda \notin \{(j, \dots 0) \mid 0 \leqslant j < k\}} \overline{a_\lambda}\ \overline{x_i}^\lambda$$

with invertible matrix, so it coincides with another solution

$$\overline{a_{0\dots0}} = k_{0\dots0},\ \overline{a_{1,0\dots0}} = k_{1,0\dots0},\ \dots,\ \overline{a_{k-1,0\dots0}} = k_{k-1,0\dots0}.$$

Thus, a polynomial $F = \sum a_\lambda z^\lambda$ satisfies the desired properties. □

3.3 Corollary. *Let $f, g \in k[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ and $G \in \mathcal{O}[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ be homogeneous polynomials of degree d. Suppose $g = \overline{G}$. Consider a set $x_1, \dots, x_r \colon S \to \mathbb{P}^n_S$ of S-points in $\mathbb{P}^n_S$ and corresponding set $\overline{x_1}, \dots, \overline{x_r} \colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to \mathbb{P}^n_k$ of k-points in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ Suppose $f(\overline{x_i}) = g(\overline{x_i})$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$.*

Then there is a homogeneous polynomial $F \in \mathcal{O}[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ of degree d such that $\overline{F} = f$ and $F(x_i) = G(x_i)$.

Proof. We can choose a system of homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^n_S$, where $x_i = (1 : a_1^{(i)} : \dots : a_n^{(i)})$ for some $a_i^{(j)} \in \mathcal{O}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. Note that then $\overline{x_i} = (1 : \overline{a_1^{(i)}} : \dots : \overline{a_n^{(i)}})$, $i = \overline{1..r}$. By lemma 3.2 there is $F_a \in \mathcal{O}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ such that $\overline{F_a}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(1, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and $F_a(a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_n^{(i)}) = G(1, a_1^{(i)}, \dots, a_n^{(i)})$ Take $F \in \mathcal{O}[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ to be the homogenization of F_a. Then F possesses the desired properties. □

3.4 Lemma. *For an embedding $\overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$ consider a set of closed points x_1, …, x_r, y_1, …, $y_m \in \overline{X}$, and let $d \geqslant r$. Let $h \in k[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d such that $h(x_i) \neq 0$ for all i.*

Then there is a homogeneous polynomial F of degree d such that

(1) $F(x_i) = h(x_i)$ for $i = 1 \dots r$

(2) $F(y_j) \neq 0$ for $j = 1 \dots m$

(3) $Z(F)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

Proof. Denote by $V_d = \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n_k, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n_k}(d))$ the space of degree d homogeneous polynomials. Consider the set

$$W = \{F \in \mathbb{P}(V_d) \mid h(x_i)F(x_{i+1}) - h(x_{i+1})F(x_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, (r-1)\}$$

Note that the condition $h(x_i)F(x_{i+1}) - h(x_{i+1})F(x_i) = 0$ is a linear homogeneous equation on coefficients of F. So W is a linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}(V_d)$ and its dimension is at least $\dim \mathbb{P}(V_d) - r$.

Consider 3 sets:

$$U_1 = \{F \in \mathbb{P}(V_d) \mid F(x_1) \neq 0, \dots, F(x_r) \neq 0\}$$

$$U_2 = \{F \in \mathbb{P}(V_d) \mid Z(F) \text{ intersects } \overline{X} \text{ transversally } \}$$

$$U_3 = \{F \in \mathbb{P}(V_d) \mid F(y_1) \neq 0, \dots, F(y_m) \neq 0\}.$$

We will prove that U_i is open in $\mathbb{P}(V_d)$ and $W \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Since W is irreducible, any two nonempty open subsets intersect. Then $W_0 = (W \cap U_1) \cap (W \cap U_2) \cap (W \cap U_3)$ is nonempty. Then take a polynomial F_1 that represents any element of W_0. Then there is a nonzero constant c such that $F_1(x_i) = ch(x_i)$ for $i = 1 \dots r$. Then the polynomial $F = F_1/c$ possesses the properties (1), (2), (3).

Now let us prove that U_i is open in $\mathbb{P}(V_d)$ and $W \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

- Since U_1 is a complement of r hyperplanes, it is open in $\mathbb{P}(V_d)$. Since $h^d \in W \cap U_1$, we have that $W \cap U_1$ is a nonempty open subset in W.

- The Bertini theorem[5, II.8.18] and the Veronese embedding imply that U_2 is open in $\mathbb{P}(V_d)$. To prove that $U_2 \cap W \neq \emptyset$ we construct a set of linear homogeneous polynomials L_i as follows: By the Bertini theorem there is linear homogeneous polynomial L_1 such that

  $$L_1(x_1) = 0, \quad L_1(x_i) \neq 0 \text{ for } i \neq 1, \quad Z(L_1) \text{ intersects } \overline{X} \text{ transversally.}$$

  Take a linear polynomial L_2 such that

  - $L_2(x_2) = 0$,
  - $L_2(x_i) \neq 0$ for $i \neq 2$,
  - $L_2(y) \neq 0$ for any $y \in \overline{X} \cap Z(L_1)$,
  - $Z(L_2)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally.

  Iterating this procedure up to x_r we obtain a set of polynomials $L_1, \dots, L_r$. Then we take a linear homogeneous polynomial L_{r+1} such that $L_{r+1}(y) \neq 0$ for any $y \in (\overline{X} \cap Z(L_1)) \cup \dots \cup (\overline{X} \cap Z(L_r))$ and $Z(L_{r+1})$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally. Iterating this process up to L_d, we obtain a set of linear homogeneous polynomials $L_{r+1}, \dots, L_d$. Now consider the polynomial $G = L_1 L_2 \dots L_d$. By construction $G(x_i) = 0$ for every $i = 1 \dots r$ so that $G \in W$. Moreover, we have $\overline{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_k} Z(G) = \coprod \overline{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_k} Z(L_i)$ and any closed set $Z(L_i)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally, so $Z(G)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally. Therefore, $G \in W \cap U_2$.

- Since U_3 is a complement of m hyperplanes, it is open in $\mathbb{P}(V_d)$. Let us show that $W \cap U_3$ is nonempty. The Bertini theorem implies that there is a set of linear homogeneous polynomials L_i, $i = 1 \dots d$ such that $L_1(x_1) = 0, \dots, L_r(x_r) = 0$ and $L_i(y_j) \neq 0$ for all i, j. Then $G = L_1 \dots L_d \in W \cap U_3$.

□

We will need the following special case of lemma 3.4:

3.5 Corollary. *Consider a closed embedding $\overline{X} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_k$ and a set of closed points $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \overline{X}$. Consider a linear homogeneous polynomial $h \in k[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ such that $h(x_i) \neq 0$ for every $i = 1 \dots r$.*

Then for any $d \geqslant r$ there is a homogeneous polynomial F of degree d such that

- *$F(x_i) = h^d(x_i)$ for every $i = 1 \dots r$*
- *$Z(F) \cap Z(h) \cap \overline{X} = \emptyset$*
- *$Z(F)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally inside $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.*

4 The Picard group of curve with good compactifications

This section is devoted to establish a presentation of the relative Picard group (see [14, § 2]) of a curve $\mathfrak{X}$ admitting a good compactification (see 2.11). This presentation is expressed in lemma 4.15. As its consequence we derive the pairing lemma 4.16 that plays an important role in the proof of the main theorem. Throughout this section we use the notation 3.1

4.1 Lemma. *All closed points of the scheme $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ are contained in $\overline{X}$.*

Proof. Consider a closed point $z \in \overline{X}$. Since π is projective, $\pi(z)$ is closed point of S. Then $z \in \overline{X}$. $\square$

4.2 Corollary. *Let Z_1 and Z_2 be closed subsets of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$. Then Z_1 and Z_2 are disjoint if and only if $Z_1 \cap \overline{X}$ and $Z_2 \cap \overline{X}$ are disjoint*

Proof. Let $Z_1 \cap \overline{X}$ and $Z_2 \cap \overline{X}$ be disjoint. Suppose that $Z = Z_1 \cap Z_2 \neq \emptyset$. Since Z is nonempty, there is a closed point $z \in Z$. Since Z is closed, z is a closed point of $\mathfrak{X}$. By lemma 4.1 $z \in \overline{X}$. Then z lies both in $Z_1 \cap \overline{X}$ and $Z_2 \cap \overline{X}$. $\square$

4.3 Lemma. *Consider a codimension 1 subscheme $Z \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ such that Z is closed in $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$. Suppose that Z intersects X transversally.*

Then Z is regular at all closed points.

Proof. Let d denote the dimension of S. For any closed point $z \in Z$ let $p\colon \mathcal{O}_{X,z} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ denote the canonical projection. Lemma 4.1 implies that $z \in X$. Let I_X and I_Z denote the ideals defining X and Z in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},z}$.

We prove that the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ is regular. It is sufficient to prove that

$$\dim_{\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}/\mathfrak{M}_{Z,z}} \mathfrak{M}_{Z,z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{Z,z} = d = \dim Z.$$

Consider a homomorphism of k-vector spaces

$$\varphi\colon \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{X},z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z} \to \mathfrak{M}_{Z,z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{Z,z} \text{ defined by } x + \mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z} \mapsto p(x) + \mathfrak{M}^2_{Z,z}.$$

This homomorphism is well defined since for every $y \in \mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z}$ the element $p(y)$ lies in $\mathfrak{M}^2_{Z,z}$. Observe that $\dim_k \mathfrak{M}_{Z,z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{Z,z} = d$ and, hence, $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ is regular, follows from the following statements

(1) the residue field $k(z)$ equals k

(2) φ is surjective

(3) $\ker \varphi$ is nontrivial

(4) $\dim_k \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{X},z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z} = d + 1$

(5) $\dim_k \mathfrak{M}_{Z,z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{Z,z} \geqslant d$.

We prove (1)-(5) as follows:

(1) Since $\mathfrak{X} \to S$ is a morphism of finite type, then Spec $k(z) \to$ Spec k is a morphism of finite type. Then by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz $k(z) = k$.

(2) This follows from the fact that p is surjective.

(3) Let us prove that $I_Z \not\subseteq \mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z}$. Since Z intersects X transversally, we have that $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{X},z} = I_Z + I_X$. The ideal I_X is generated by some elements $a_1, \dots a_d \in I_X$, since X is regular of codimension d [1, III.4.10]. Then the inclusion $I_Z \subseteq \mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z}$ would imply that $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{X},z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z}$ is generated by elements $a_i + \mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z}$, $i = 1 \dots d$. This contradicts to the fact that $\dim \mathfrak{X} = d + 1$. So we have shown that there is $f \in I_Z \setminus \mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z}$. Then $f + \mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z}$ is nonzero and lies in $\ker \varphi$.

(4) Since $\mathfrak{X}$ is regular, we have that $\dim_k \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{X},z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{\mathfrak{X},z} = \dim \mathfrak{X} = d + 1$

(5) The fact that $k(z) = k$ and proposition [1, III.4.7] imply that

$$\dim_k \mathfrak{M}_{Z,z}/\mathfrak{M}^2_{Z,z} \geqslant \dim Z = d. \quad \square$$

4.4 Lemma. *Consider a codimension 1 subscheme $Z \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ such that Z is closed in $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$. Suppose that Z intersects X transversally.*

Then $\pi|_Z \colon Z \to S$ is étale.

Proof. The proof consists of two steps. First, we check (Step 1) that π is unramified at all closed points. Then we check (Step 2) that π is flat at all closed points. Since $Z \to S$ is locally of finite type, these two facts imply that $Z \to S$ is étale at all closed points and, hence, at some neighbourhood of closed points of Z. Therefore, $\pi \colon Z \to S$ is étale.

Step 1. We prove that $Z \to S$ is unramified at any point of $Z \cap X$. Denote by 0 the closed point of the local scheme S. Consider $z \in Z \cap X$, i.e. $\pi(z) = 0$. Then we get a morphism $\pi^* \colon \mathcal{O}_{S,0} \to \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$. Let us show that $\mathfrak{M}_{Z,z} = \mathfrak{M}_{S,0}\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$. Since Z intersects X transversally, we have $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{X},z} = I_Z + I_0$ in local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},z}$. Here $I_0 = \mathfrak{M}_{S,0}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},z}$ is the ideal defining X, and I_Z is the ideal defining Z. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},z}/I_Z$. Then

$$\mathfrak{M}_{Z,z} = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{X},z}/I_Z = (I_Z + I_0)/I_Z = I_0/I_Z = \mathfrak{M}_{S,s}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X},\mathfrak{X}}/I_Z = \mathfrak{M}_{S,s}\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}.$$

Since k is algebraically closed and z is a closed point, we obtain that $k(z) = k$ is a separable extension of $k(0) = k$.

Step 2. We prove that $Z \to S$ is flat in any point of $Z \cap X$. Lemma 4.3 implies that for any closed point z the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ is regular. Let us check that $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ is a quasifinite $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$-module. As it was shown in I, $\mathfrak{M}_{Z,z} = \mathfrak{M}_{S,0}\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$. Hence, $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}/\mathfrak{M}_{S,0}\mathcal{O}_{Z,z} = \mathcal{O}_{Z,z}/\mathfrak{M}_{Z,z} = k$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $k(0) = k$.

Then the Grothendieck theorem [1, V.3.6] implies that $\mathcal{O}_{Z,z}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$. Then $Z \to S$ is flat in all closed points of Z. Then the map $\pi : Z \to S$ is flat in some open neighbourhood of closed points of Z. Note that Z is the only open neighbourhood of its closed points. Then $\pi : Z \to S$ is flat ☐

4.5 Lemma. *Let Z be a closed subscheme of codimension 1 in $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$. Suppose that $\pi|_Z : Z \to S$ is étale. Then $Z = \coprod_{i=1}^{k} s_i(S)$ for some sections $s_i : S \to \mathfrak{X}$, $i = 1 \dots k$.*

Proof. Since $\pi|_Z$ is étale, the closed subscheme $\pi|_Z^{-1}(0)$ has dimension zero, so it consists of finite number of closed points z_i, $i = 1 \dots k$ Then for any $i = 1 \dots k$ there is a section of $s_i \colon S \to Z$ of $\pi|_Z$ such that $s_i(0) = z_i$ [8, 4.2 §4] Since $\pi|_Z$ is étale and $\pi|_Z \circ s_i = 1_S$, we have that s_i is étale [1, VI 4.7]. Then $s_i : S \to Z$ is open map, so $s_i(S)$ is open in Z. Since s_i is a section of $\pi|_Z$, hence a section of π, $s_i(S)$ is closed in $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$.

Let us check that $s_i(S)$ are disjoint. Suppose the closed set $s_i(S) \cap s_j(S)$ is nonempty. Then there is a closed point $x \in s_i(S) \cap s_j(S)$. Then x is a closed point of $\mathfrak{X}$. Then $x \in X$ by lemma 4.1. This is impossible for $i \neq j$ since $s_i(S) \cap X = z_i$ and $s_j(S) \cap X = z_j$.

We prove that $Z = \coprod s_i(S)$. Since all $s_i(S)$ are open in Z, we get that $Z \setminus \coprod s_i(S)$ is a closed subset of Z having no closed points. Then $Z = \coprod s_i(S)$. ☐

This lemma has two corollaries

4.6 Corollary. *In conditions of lemma 4.4 the scheme Z equals to a disjoint union of schemes $s_i(S)$ for some sections $s_i \colon S \to \mathfrak{X}$.*

Proof. Corollary follows directly from lemma 4.4 and lemma 4.5 ☐

4.7 Corollary. *The subscheme $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ equals to the disjoint union $\coprod_{i=1}^{r} x_i(S)$ for some S-points $x_i : S \to \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$.*

Proof. By definition of good compactification(2.11) the scheme $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ is étale over S. ☐

4.8 Notation. For any homogeneous polynomials $F_0, F_1 \in \mathcal{O}[z_0 \dots z_n]$ of the same degree consider the homogeneous graded ring homomorphism $k[t_0, t_1] \to \mathcal{O}[z_0 \dots z_n]$ given by the rule $t_0 \mapsto F_0$, $t_1 \mapsto F_1$ This gives us a rational map

$$\alpha \colon \mathbb{P}^n_S = \ \textbf{Proj}\ \mathcal{O}[z_0 \dots z_n] \dashrightarrow \textbf{Proj}\ k[t_0, t_1] = \mathbb{P}^1_k$$

This map is defined on the open subset $\mathbb{P}^n_S \setminus (Z(F_0) \cap Z(F_1))$. We denote this subset by U_{F_0,F_1} and the map α by $[F_0 : F_1]$.

4.9 Lemma. *Let $D \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ be a very ample divisor on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$.*

Then for all big enough d there is a morphism $f\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ with the following properties:

(1) $\mathfrak{X}_\infty \subseteq f^{-1}(1)$

(2) $div_0(f) = dD$

(3) Scheme-theoretic preimage $f^{-1}(\infty)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally.

Proof. Consider an embedding $\alpha\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_S$, such that D is a hyperplane section $D = \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \cap Z(H)$ for some linear homogeneous polynomial $H \in \mathcal{O}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$. We will construct $f\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ in the form $f : \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \overset{\alpha}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^n_S \overset{[F_0:F_1]}{\dashrightarrow} \mathbb{P}^1_k$ for specially chosen polynomials F_0 and F_1 such that $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \subseteq U_{F_0,F_1}$. Now we construct the homogeneous polynomials F_0 and F_1.

Since $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ is étale over Henselian base S, by lemma 4.5 it consists of finite number of S-points in $\mathbb{P}^n_S$. Denote these points by $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r\colon S \to \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$, and by $x_1, \dots, x_r\colon \operatorname{Spec} k \to \overline{X}$ the corresponding k-points in $\overline{X}$.

Let $h = \overline{H} \in k[t_0, \dots, t_n]$. Since D does not intersect $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$, we have $h(x_i) \neq 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$. Corollary 3.5 implies that for any large enough d there is a homogeneous polynomial f_1 of degree d such that

- $f_1(x_i) = h^d(x_i)$
- $Z(f_1) \cap Z(h) \cap \overline{X} = \emptyset$
- $Z(f_1)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally.

Take F_0 to be equal to H^d and F_1 to be a homogeneous polynomial $F_1 \in \mathcal{O}[t_0, \dots, t_n]$ such that $F_1(\xi_i) = H^d(\xi_i)$ and $\overline{F_1} = f_1$. The polynomial F_1 exists by corollary 3.3.

First we check that $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \subseteq U_{F_0,F_1}$. Since $\overline{X}$ and $Z(h^d) \cap Z(f_1)$ are disjoint, the corollary 4.2 implies that $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $Z(F_0) \cap Z(F_1)$ are disjoint. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \subseteq U_{F_0,F_1}$. Denote U_{F_0,F_1} by U.

So, the map $f = [F_0 : F_1] \circ \alpha$ is well defined on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$. Fix some system of homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$ and take $1 = [1 : 1]$, $0 = [0 : 1]$ and $\infty = [1 : 0]$.

Now we check that f satisfies the conditions $(1) - (3)$:

(1) The statement follows from the fact that $F_1(\xi_i) = F_0(\xi_i)$

(2) The construction of f implies that $div_0(f) = dZ(H) \cdot \overline{\mathfrak{X}} = dD$.

(3) Let us check that $f^{-1}(\infty)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally. To check that we will establish the following equality

$$\overline{X} \times_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}} f^{-1}(\infty) = \overline{X} \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_k} Z(\overline{F_1}). \qquad (*)$$

Since $Z(\overline{F_1})$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally, the equality (*) implies that $f^{-1}(\infty)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally in $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$.

To establish the equality (*) we will prove the following chain of equalities:

$$\overline{X}\times_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}} f^{-1}(\infty) \overset{(1)}{=} \overline{X}\times_{\mathbb{P}^1_k}\infty \overset{(2)}{=} \overline{X}\times_U Z(F_1)\cap U \overset{(3)}{=} \overline{X}\times_{\mathbb{P}^n_S} Z(F_1) \overset{(4)}{=} \overline{X}\times_{\mathbb{P}^n_k} Z(\overline{F_1})$$

The equality (1) holds, since its both sides coincide with the edge of the following diagram consisting of two cartesian squares:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ldots \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & f^{-1}(\infty) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \{\infty\} \arrow[d] \\
\overline{X} \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1_k
\end{tikzcd}$$

The equality (2) holds, since its both sides coincide with the edge of the following diagram consisting of two cartesian squares:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ldots \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z(F_1)\cap U \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \{\infty\} \arrow[d] \\
\overline{X} \arrow[r] & U \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1_k
\end{tikzcd}$$

The equality (3) follows from the fact that U is an open neighbourhood of $\overline{X}$

The equality (4) holds, since its both sides coincide with the edge of the following diagram consisting of two cartesian squares:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\ldots \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z(\overline{F_1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z(F_1) \arrow[d] \\
\overline{X} \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^n_k \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^n_S
\end{tikzcd} \quad \square$$

4.10 Lemma. *Let f be a rational function on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ such that its divisor can be represented as $div(f) = \sum s_i(S) - \sum t_j(S)$ such that in the union $\{\overline{s_i(S)}\} \cup \{\overline{t_j(S)}\}$ each member is disjoint from the others as subschemes of $\overline{X}$.*

Then f can be extended to a regular morphism $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$ that is étale over $S\times 0$ and over $S\times\infty$.

Proof. **I.** Let us prove that there is extension $F\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$. Since $\overline{s_i(S)}, \overline{t_j(S)}$ are distinct points of $\overline{X}$, corollary 4.6 implies that $s_i(S)$ and $t_j(S)$ are disjoint in $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$. Then $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a vertex of the following couniversal square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathfrak{X}}\setminus \cup s_i(S)\setminus \cup t_j(S) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{\mathfrak{X}}\setminus \cup s_i(S) \arrow[d] \\
\overline{\mathfrak{X}}\setminus \cup t_j(S) \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathfrak{X}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We see that $\mathbb{P}^1_S$ is the vertex of the following couniversal square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Spec\ \mathcal{O}[t,t^{-1}] \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Spec\ \mathcal{O}[t^{-1}] \arrow[d] \\
Spec\ \mathcal{O}[t] \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1_S
\end{tikzcd}$$

Rational function f defines 2 regular morphisms $f\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \cup t_j(S) \to Spec\ \mathcal{O}[t]$ and $\frac{1}{f}\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \cup s_i(S) \to Spec\ \mathcal{O}[t^{-1}]$. Then, by the couniversal property, we get a regular morphism $F\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \cup s_i(S) \setminus \cup t_j(S) \arrow[rrr] \arrow[dd] & & & \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \cup s_i(S) \arrow[dd] \arrow[dr, "\frac{1}{f_1}"] & \\
& & Spec\ \mathcal{O}[t,t^{-1}] \arrow[rr] \arrow[dd] & & Spec\ \mathcal{O}[t^{-1}] \arrow[dd] \\
\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \cup t_j(S) \arrow[rrr] \arrow[drr, "f_1"] & & & \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \arrow[dr, dashed, "\hat{f}_1"] & \\
& & Spec\ \mathcal{O}[t] \arrow[rr] & & \mathbb{P}^1_S
\end{tikzcd}$$

II. Let us check that the extension F is étale over $0 \times S$ and $\infty \times S$. Note that F is of finite type. It is sufficient to prove that F is unramified and flat at any closed point x such that $F(x) \in 0 \times S$ or $F(x) \in \infty \times S$.

Consider $x \in X$ such that $F(x) \in 0 \times S$. Then $x \in s_i(S)$ for some i. We prove that F is unramified at x. There is the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\pi"] & \mathbb{P}^1_S \arrow[dl, shift left, "p"] \\
S \arrow[u, shift left, "s_i"] \arrow[ur, shift left, "0\times S"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and corresponding diagram of local rings:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x} \arrow[d, shift right, "s_i^*"'] & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S,0} \arrow[l, "F^*"'] \arrow[dl, shift right, "0\times S^*"'] \\
\mathcal{O}_{S,0} \arrow[u, shift right, "\pi^*"'] \arrow[ur, shift right, "p^*"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

To check that F is unramified at x, we need to check that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x} = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S,0} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}. \tag{$*$}$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S,0}$ are maximal ideals in the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S,0}$ To verify this equality we will establish the following equalities:

(1) $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x} = I_X + I_{s_i(S)}$

(2) $I_X = I_{\mathbb{P}^1_k} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}$

(3) $I_{s_i(S)} = I_{0\times S} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}$.

Where I_X and $I_{s_i(S)}$ denote the ideals defining the closed subschemes X and $s_i(S)$ in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}$, and $I_{\mathbb{P}^1_k}$ and $I_{0\times S}$ denote the ideals defining the closed subschemes $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ and $0 \times S$ in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S,0}$.

- to check the equality (1) note that

  $$s_i^*(I_X) = s_i^*(\pi^*(\mathfrak{M}_{S,0}) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}) = \mathfrak{M}_{S,0} \cdot s_i^*(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}) = \mathfrak{M}_{S,0} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \mathfrak{M}_{S,0}.$$

  Then $s_i^*(\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{S,0} = s_i^*(I_X)$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x} \subseteq I_X + \ker s_i^*$. Note that $\ker s_i^* = I_{s_i(S)}$ and I_X are contained in the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}$. Then we get the equality (2): $\mathfrak{M}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x} \subseteq I_X + \ker s_i^* = I_X + I_{s_i(S)}$.

- to check the equality (2) note that $X = 0 \times_S \overline{\mathfrak{X}} = \mathbb{P}^1_k \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$.

- to check the equality (3) note that $\coprod s_i(S) = \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} S \times 0$, and $s_j(S)$ misses the neighbourhood of x for $j \neq i$.

Since $S \times 0$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_k$ intersect transversally in $\mathbb{P}^1_S$, we get

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S,0} = I_{\mathbb{P}^1_k} + I_{S\times 0}.$$

Multiplying this equality by $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}$, we get equality (*):

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S,0} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x} = I_{\mathbb{P}^1_k} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x} + I_{S\times 0} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x} = (2)\&(3) = I_X + I_{s_i(S)} = (1) = \mathfrak{M}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}.$$

So, F is unramified at x.

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}},x}$ is a regular ring and quasi-finite $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S,0}$-module, the Grothendieck theorem [1, V.3.6]) implies that F is flat at x. Then F is étale at all closed points of $F^{-1}(0 \times S)$. Then F is étale over $0 \times S$. The same reasoning proves that F is étale over $S \times \infty$. This concludes the proof. □

4.11 Definition. A divisor D on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ is called an S-divisor iff its components are S-points of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$, i.e. $D = \sum_i n_i s_i(S)$ for some sections $s_i \colon S \to \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$.

4.12 Definition. A divisor D on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ is called a disjoint divisor, iff $D = \sum_i \varepsilon_i Z_i$ such that $\varepsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, and for $i \neq j$ we have that $Z_i \cap Z_j = \emptyset$.

4.13 Definition. We will call the divisors D and D' disjoint, iff $D = \sum n_i Z_i$, $D' = \sum m_j Z'_j$ and for all i, j the component Z_i is disjoint from Z'_j.

4.14 Lemma. *Consider an S-point $s \colon S \to \mathfrak{X}$. Consider a set of S-points $y_1, \dots y_k \colon S \to \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$. Then there is a rational function φ on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ such that*

- *φ is defined and equal to 1 on $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$,*

- *div* $\varphi = \sum s_i(S) - \sum t_m(S)$ *is a disjoint* S*-divisor,* $s_1 = s$*,*
- *the divisor* $\sum\limits_{i \geqslant 2} s_i(S) - \sum t_m(S)$ *is disjoint from* $\sum y_j(S)$*.*

Proof. Consider a closed embedding $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n_S$. We will construct φ in the form $\frac{F}{G}$, where F and G are in $\Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n_S, \mathcal{O}(d)$ for some d. Corollary 4.7 implies that $\mathfrak{X}_\infty = \coprod x_i(S)$ for some set of S points $x_i \colon S \to \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$, $i = 1 \ldots r$. Denote by $\overline{x_i}$, $\overline{y_j}$, $\overline{s}$ the corresponding closed points Spec $k \to \overline{X}$.

Step 1. We construct the polynomial F. For any $d \geqslant r$ by lemma 3.4 there is $f \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n_k, \mathcal{O}(d))$ such that

- $f(\overline{s}) = 0$,
- $f(\overline{y_j}) \neq 0$ and $f(\overline{x_i}) \neq 0$ for $j = 1 \ldots k$, $i = 1 \ldots r$.
- $Z(f)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally in $\mathbb{P}^n_k$.

Then take $F \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n_S, \mathcal{O}(d)$ such that $\overline{F} = f$ and $F(s) = 0$. This homogeneous polynomial exists by corollary 3.3

Step 2. We construct the polynomial G. By lemma 3.4 there is a homogeneous polynomial $g \in k[z_0, \ldots, z_n]$ of degree d such that:

- $g(y) \neq 0$ for all $y \in \overline{X} \cap Z(f)$, for $y = \overline{y_j}$ and for $y = \overline{x_i}$, $i = 1 \ldots r$ and $j = 1 \ldots k$.
- $g(\overline{x_i}) = f(\overline{x_i})$
- $Z(g)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally

By corollary 3.3, there is a homogeneous polynomial G of degree d such that $\overline{G} = g$ and $G(x_i) = F(x_i)$. Then for all x_i φ is defined on x_i and $\varphi(x_i) = 1$
Now consider the divisor of φ on $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$
Note that

$$(\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_S} Z(F)) \times_{\overline{\mathfrak{X}}} \overline{X} = Z(F) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_S} \overline{X} = (Z(F) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_S} \mathbb{P}^n_k) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_k} \overline{X} = Z(f) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_k} \overline{X}.$$

Since $Z(f)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally, we have that $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_S} Z(F)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally. Then by lemma 4.4, the scheme $\varphi^{-1}(0)$ is étale over S. Then lemma 4.5 implies that $\varphi^{-1}(0) = \coprod s_i(S)$ for some sections $s_i : S \to \mathfrak{X}$. Since $F(s) = 0$, we have that one of the s_i, say s_1 equals s. Then $div_0(\varphi) = \sum s_i(S)$.

The same reasoning proves that the scheme $Z(G) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_S} \overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally. Then it is étale over S by lemma 4.4 Then by lemma 4.5 $Z(G) \times_{\mathbb{P}^n_S} \overline{\mathfrak{X}} = \coprod t_m(S)$. Then $div_\infty(\varphi) = \sum t_m(S)$.

Then $div(\varphi) = div_0(\varphi) - div_\infty(\varphi)$ is a disjoint S-divisor.

By the construction of φ, for $i \geqslant 2$ $\overline{s_i}$ is distinct from all $\overline{y_j}$, and for all m $\overline{t_m}$ is disjoint from all $\overline{y_j}$. Then by corollary 4.6 the divisor $\sum_{i \geqslant 2} s_i(S) - \sum t_m(S)$ is disjoint from all $y_j(S)$. □

4.15 Lemma. *Consider a map*

$$\Phi\colon \bigoplus_{s\colon S\to\mathfrak{X}} \mathbb{Z}\cdot s \longrightarrow Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)$$

$$s \mapsto s(S)$$

Then

- Φ *is surjective.*
- *Kernel of* Φ *is generated by elements* $D = \sum s_i(S) - \sum t_j(S)$ *for some finite sets of sections* $\{s_i\}$ *and* $\{t_j\}$ *of* $\mathfrak{X} \to S$ *such that there is a regular morphism* $f\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$ *with the following properties:*
  - *(i)* f *is étale over* $0 \times S$ *and* $\infty \times S$,
  - *(ii)* $\mathfrak{X}_\infty \subseteq f^{-1}(1 \times S)$
  - *(iii)* $f^{-1}(0 \times S) = \coprod s_i(S)$, $f^{-1}(\infty \times S) = \coprod t_j(S)$.

Proof. (1) Let us prove that Φ is surjective.

(1.1) Consider $[Z] \in Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)$ such that Z intersects X transversally by finite number of points. By lemma 4.4 $\pi\colon Z \to S$ is étale. Then corollary 4.6 implies that $Z = \coprod s_i(S)$ for some set of sections s_i. Then $[Z] \in Im\Phi$.

(1.2) Now consider a case when $D = \sum n_i[Z_i] \in Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)$ is a very ample divisor. We will prove that for big enough d, the divisor dD is equivalent in $Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)$ to some divisor $\sum n'_i Z'_i$ such that all Z'_i intersect X transversally. By lemma 4.9 there is a morphism $f\colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ such that

- $\mathfrak{X}_\infty \subseteq f^{-1}(1)$
- $div_0(f) = dD$
- Scheme-theoretic preimage $f^{-1}(\infty)$ intersects $\overline{X}$ transversally.

Then the divisor dD is equivalent to $div_\infty(f)$ in $Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)$. By the case 1.1, divisor $div_\infty(f)$ is in $Im\Phi$. The same reasoning shows that $(d+1)D$ is in $Im\Phi$. Then $D = (d+1)D - dD$ is in $Im\Phi$. Since $Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)$ is generated by very ample divisors, we have that Φ is surjective.

(2) Consider the kernel of Φ.

For any divisor $D = \sum n_i s_i(S) \in \ker\Phi$ the lemma 4.14 implies that there is a disjoint S-divisor D_1 in $\ker\Phi$ such that $D_1 = s_1(S) + \sum s'_i(S) - \sum t'_j(S)$ and the divisor $\sum s'_i(S) - \sum t'_j(S)$ is disjoint from D.

Then

$$D - D_1 = (n_1 - 1)s_1(S) + \sum_{i\geqslant 2} s_i(S) - \sum m_j t_j(S) - \sum s'_i(S) + \sum t'_j(S).$$

Note that we have reduced by 1 the multiplicity of $s_1(S)$ in D and added a disjoint S-divisor that is disjoint from D.

Iterating this procedure we reduce all multiplicities n_i and m_j and on some step k we will get $D - D_1 - \ldots - D_k = \widetilde{D}$ where $\widetilde{D} \in \ker \Phi$ is a disjoint S-divisor Since D_i, $i = 1 \ldots k$ and $\widetilde{D}$ lie in $\ker \Phi$, there are rational functions f_i, $i = 1 \ldots k$ and $\widetilde{f}$ such that $f_i(\mathfrak{X}_\infty) = 1$, $\widetilde{f}(\mathfrak{X}_\infty) = 1$ and $div f_i = D_i$ and $div \widetilde{f} = \widetilde{D}$. Then by lemma 4.10 each f_i and $\widetilde{f}$ can be extended to the regular morphisms $f_i, \widetilde{f} \colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$ possessing the properties (i)-(iii). Then

$$D = D_1 + \ldots D_k + \widetilde{D}$$

is the desired decomposition of D in $\ker \Phi$.

$\square$

4.16 Lemma. *Consider a pairing:*

$$\bigoplus_{s \colon S \to \mathfrak{X}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot s \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S) \text{ defined by } s \otimes f \mapsto s^*(f).$$

Then this pairing can be decomposed in the following way:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{s \colon S \to \mathfrak{X}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot s \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & \mathcal{F}(S) \\
& Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty) \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. By lemma 4.15, it is sufficient to prove that for every $f \colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$ such that:

- $\mathfrak{X}_\infty \subseteq f^{-1}(1 \times S)$,
- f is étale over $0 \times S$ and $\infty \times S$,
- $f^{-1}(0 \times S) = \coprod s_i(S)$,
- $f^{-1}(\infty \times S) = \coprod t_j(S)$

The following equality holds:
$\sum \mathcal{F}(s_i) - \sum \mathcal{F}(t_j) = 0$ as a morphism $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathcal{F}(S)$

Note that the closed fiber restriction $f|_{\overline{X}} \colon \overline{X} \to \mathbb{P}^1_k$ is a quasifinite morphism. Since S is local, then $f \colon \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathbb{P}^1_S$ is quasifinite. Since f is projective and quasifinite, then f is finite. Take $\mathbb{A}^1_S = \mathbb{P}^1_S \backslash 1 \times S$ and consider $\mathfrak{X}_1 = \overline{\mathfrak{X}} \times_{\mathbb{P}^1_S} \mathbb{A}^1_S$. Then $\mathfrak{X}_1$ is open subscheme in $\mathfrak{X}$, smooth over S and $f|_{\mathfrak{X}_1} \colon \mathfrak{X}_1 \to \mathbb{A}^1_S$ is finite and surjective. Note that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\coprod s_i(S) \arrow[r, hook, "I_0"] \arrow[d, "f|_{\coprod s_i(S)}"] & \mathfrak{X}_1 \arrow[d, "f|_{\mathfrak{X}_1}"] & \coprod t_j(S) \arrow[l, hook', "I_\infty"'] \arrow[d, "f|_{\coprod t_j(S)}"] \\
S \arrow[r, hook, "i_0"] & \mathbb{A}^1_S & S \arrow[l, hook', "i_\infty"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

All vertical arrows are finite surjective flat l.c.i. morphisms, so there are the following morphisms in the category $\mathcal{C}$:
$\phi \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{A}^1_S, \mathfrak{X}_1)$ represented by the triple $(\mathbb{A}^1_S \leftarrow \mathfrak{X}_1 \overset{id}{\to} \mathfrak{X}_1)$, $\alpha_0 \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(S, \coprod s_i(S))$ represented by $(S \leftarrow \coprod s_i(S) \to \coprod s_i(S))$, and $\alpha_1 \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(S, \coprod t_j(S))$ represented by $(S \leftarrow \coprod t_j(S) \to \coprod t_j(S))$.

Then we have the following diagram in Ω-category:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\coprod s_i(S) \arrow[r, hook, "I_0"] & \mathfrak{X}_1 & \coprod t_j(S) \arrow[l, hook', "I_\infty"'] \\
S \arrow[u, "\alpha_0"] \arrow[r, hook, "i_0"] & \mathbb{A}^1_S \arrow[u, "\phi"] & S \arrow[u, "\alpha_\infty"] \arrow[l, hook', "i_\infty"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let us prove that this diagram commutes: The composition $I_0 \circ \alpha_0$ is represented by the triple $(S \leftarrow \coprod s_i(S) \to \mathfrak{X}_1)$
The composition $\phi \circ i_0$ is represented by the triple $(S \leftarrow S \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \mathfrak{X}_1 \to \mathfrak{X}_1)$ Since $S \times_{\mathbb{A}^1_S} \mathfrak{X}_1 = \coprod s_i(S)$, the left square commutes. Analogously, the right square commutes. Applying the functor $\mathcal{F}$ we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}(\coprod s_i(S)) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{F}(\alpha_0)"] & \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}_1) \arrow[l, "\mathcal{F}(I_0)"'] \arrow[r, "\mathcal{F}(I_\infty)"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{F}(\phi)"] & \mathcal{F}(\coprod t_j(S)) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{F}(\alpha_\infty)"] \\
\mathcal{F}(S) & \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{A}^1_S) \arrow[l, "\mathcal{F}(i_0)"'] \arrow[r, "\mathcal{F}(i_\infty)"] & \mathcal{F}(S)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Denote by p the projection $p : \mathbb{A}^1_S \to S$. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ is homotopy invariant and i_0 and i_1 are sections of p. Then $\mathcal{F}(i_0) = \mathcal{F}(i_\infty) = (\mathcal{F}(p))^{-1}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_0) \circ \mathcal{F}(I_0) = \mathcal{F}(\alpha_\infty) \circ \mathcal{F}(I_\infty)$$

We will prove that $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_0) \circ \mathcal{F}(I_0) = \sum \mathcal{F}(s_i)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_\infty) \circ \mathcal{F}(I_\infty) = \sum \mathcal{F}(t_j)$ in $Hom_{\mathbf{Ab}}(\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \mathcal{F}(S))$

Consider $\alpha_{0,(i)} \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(S, \coprod s_i(S))$ represented by the triple $(S \leftarrow s_i(S) \to \coprod s_i(S))$. Then

$$\alpha_0 = \sum \alpha_{0,(i)}$$

Each morphism $I_0 \circ \alpha_{0,(i)}$ is represented by the triple $(S \leftarrow s_i(S) \to \mathfrak{X}_1)$ Note that $\pi|_{s_i(S)} \colon s_i(S) \to S$ is an isomorphism with s_i being is its inverse. Then

$$I_0 \circ \alpha_{0,(i)} = s_i \text{ in } Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(S, \mathfrak{X}_1).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha_0) \circ \mathcal{F}(I_0) = \mathcal{F}(I_0 \circ \alpha_0) = \mathcal{F}(\sum I_0 \circ \alpha_{0,(i)}) = \sum \mathcal{F}(I_0 \circ \alpha_{0,(i)}) = \sum \mathcal{F}(s_i)$$

The same reasoning proves that $\mathcal{F}(\alpha_\infty) \circ \mathcal{F}(I_\infty) = \sum \mathcal{F}(t_j)$.

Then $\sum \mathcal{F}(s_i) = \sum \mathcal{F}(t_j) \colon \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}_1) \to \mathcal{F}(S)$. Taking composition with the inclusion $\mathfrak{X}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, we get

$$\sum \mathcal{F}(s_i) = \sum \mathcal{F}(t_j) \colon \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathcal{F}(S). \qquad \square$$

5 Proof of the main result

5.1 Theorem. *Let k be algebraically closed field, S be a Henselization of a smooth variety over k in a closed point, $\mathfrak{X}/S$ be smooth scheme over S of relative dimension 1, admitting a good compactification. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a homotopy invariant presheaf with Ω-transfers such that $n\mathcal{F} = 0$, and $gcd(n, char\ k) = 1$.*
Then for any two sections $x, y\colon S \to \mathfrak{X}$, such that x and y coincide in the closed point of S, two homomorphisms $\mathcal{F}(x), \mathcal{F}(y)\colon \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathcal{F}(S)$ coincide.

Proof. Consider a pairing $\bigoplus\limits_{s\colon S\to\mathfrak{X}} \mathbb{Z}\cdot s \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathcal{F}(S)$, defined by the rule

$$s \otimes \alpha \mapsto \mathcal{F}(s)(\alpha).$$

Since $n\mathcal{F} = 0$ and lemma 4.16, this pairing can be decomposed in the following way:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus\limits_{s\colon S\to\mathfrak{X}} \mathbb{Z}\cdot s \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr] & & \mathcal{F}(S) \\
& Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)/n \otimes \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \arrow[ur] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

So, it is enough to prove that elements, defined by the divisors $x(S)$ and $y(S)$ coincide in $Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)/n$. Here our proof is similar to the proof (4.3 [14]). By (2.2 [14])

$$Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)/n \subseteq H^2_{et}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, j_!(\mu_n))$$

where μ_n is the group of n-roots of unity. By proper base change theorem,

$$H^2_{et}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, j_!(\mu_n)) = H^2_{et}(\overline{X}, (j_0)_!(\mu_n))$$

where $\overline{X}$ and X are fibers of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $\mathfrak{X}$ over the closed point of S, and $j_0\colon X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ is the corresponding embedding. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)/n \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^2_{et}(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, j_!(\mu_n)) \arrow[d] \\
Pic(\overline{X}, X_\infty)/n \arrow[r] & H^2_{et}(\overline{X}, (j_0)_!(\mu_n))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)/n \subseteq Pic(\overline{X}, X_\infty)/n$. Since x and y coincide in the closed point, the images of $x(S)$ and $y(S)$ coincide in $Pic(\overline{X}, X_\infty)/n$. Then $x(S)$ and $y(S)$ coincide in $Pic(\overline{\mathfrak{X}}, \mathfrak{X}_\infty)/n$. This concludes the proof. □

5.2 Corollary. *Let k be algebraically closed field, Y be a smooth variety, $\mathcal{O}$ be a local ring of a closed point of Y in étale topology and $S = Spec\ \mathcal{O}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a homotopy invariant presheaf with Ω-transfers and $n\mathcal{F} = 0$, where $(n, char\ k) = 1$. Then the homomorphism $\mathcal{F}(\imath)\colon \mathcal{F}(S) \to \mathcal{F}(k)$ is an isomorphism. where $\imath\colon Spec\ k \to S$ is the embedding of the closed point.*

Proof. Let l denote the dimension of Y. Then S is isomorphic to $S_l = \mathrm{Spec}\ \mathcal{O}^{\text{ét}}_{A^l_k,0}$. So, it is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{F}(\imath)\colon \mathcal{F}(S_l) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec}\ k)$ is an isomorphism. Consider the projection $\pi_l\colon S_l \to \mathrm{Spec}\ k$. Then we get that $\mathcal{F}(\imath) \circ \mathcal{F}(\pi_l) = id_{\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec}\ k)}$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\pi_l)$ is injective. Let us check that $\mathcal{F}(\pi_l)$ is surjective. We will prove it by induction on l.
The case $l = 0$ is trivial.
We prove the induction step. Since any étale neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbb{A}^l$ contains an affine étale neighbourhood, we have that $\mathcal{F}(S_l) = colim\ \mathcal{F}(W)$, where W runs over all affine étale neighbourhoods $(W, w_0) \to (\mathbb{A}^l, 0)$. For any affine étale neighbourhood W we will find a morphism $\pi : S_l \to S_{l-1}$ and a decomposition of the canonical map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{F}(W) \arrow[rr, "can"] \arrow[dr] & & \mathcal{F}(S_l) \\
& \mathcal{F}(S_{l-1}) \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{F}(\pi)"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{$*$}$$

By the induction hypothesis, $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec}\ k) \to \mathcal{F}(S_{l-1})$ is surjective, so this decomposition implies that the image of $\mathcal{F}(W)$ in $\mathcal{F}(S_l)$ is contained in the image of the morphism $\mathcal{F}(\pi_l)$. Then $\mathcal{F}(\pi_l)$ is surjective.

Now we will construct the morphism π and the decomposition $(*)$.
By proposition [9, 3.3] there is an open neighbourhood U of w_0 in W, an open embedding $U \hookrightarrow \overline{U}$, and the commutative diagram, where Y denotes $\overline{U} \setminus U$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U \arrow[r, "open"] \arrow[dr, "p"] & \overline{U} \arrow[d, "\overline{p}"] & Y \arrow[l] \arrow[dl, "q"'] \\
& U' &
\end{tikzcd}$$

such that

- U' is an open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}_k$,
- $\overline{p}$ is smooth projective of relative dimension 1
- q is étale and finite.

For any étale morphism $(V, v_0) \to (U', p(w_0))$ consider the fiber product diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"] & S_l \arrow[d, "p\circ can"] \\
V \arrow[r, "\text{ét}"] & U'
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since S_l is local, there is a unique closed point x_0 in X lying over v_0 in V. Since φ is étale and $\varphi(x_0) = 0$, lemma [8, 4.2 §4] implies that there is a section

$\chi : S_l \to X$ sending 0 to x_0. Thus we get a morphism $\alpha \circ \chi \colon (S_l, 0) \to (V, v_0)$. Since S_{l-1} is a limit of a filtered system of étale neighbourhoods (V, v_0) of $(A^l, 0)$, we obtain a morphism $\pi\colon S_l \to S_{l-1}$ and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_l \arrow[r, "can"] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & U \arrow[d, "p"] \\
S_{l-1} \arrow[r, "can"] & U'
\end{tikzcd}$$

Denote by f the composition $S_l \overset{\pi}{\to} S_{l-1} \to U'$. Consider the fiber product:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & U \arrow[d] \\
S_l \arrow[r, "f"] & U'
\end{tikzcd}$$

We check that $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} = \overline{U} \times_{U'} S_l$ is a good compactification of $\mathfrak{X}$. Since $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \mathfrak{X} = (\overline{U} \setminus U) \times_{U'} S_l = Y \times_{U'} S_l$ and Y is étale over U', we have that $\overline{\mathfrak{X}} \setminus \mathfrak{X}$ is étale over S_l.

So we can apply the rigidity theorem to $\mathfrak{X}$. We construct two sections $s_1, s_2 \colon S_l \to \mathfrak{X}$ as follows

First section, s_1 arises by universal property in the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_l \arrow[dr, dashed, "s_1"] \arrow[drr, "can"] \arrow[ddr, equal] & & \\
& \mathfrak{X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & U \arrow[d] \\
& S_l \arrow[r] & U'
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $S_l \to U$ is the canonical map.

To construct the second section, s_2, let us prove that there is a section

$$\xi\colon S_{l-1} \to S_l \text{ of the morphism } \pi\colon S_l \to S_{l-1}$$

By its construction π is smooth of relative dimension 1. Then π can be presented as a composition of étale morphism and a projection:

$$\pi\colon S_l \overset{\text{ét}}{\to} S_{l-1} \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to S_{l-1}$$

Consider the following Cartesian square:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "r"] & S_{l-1} \arrow[d, "i_0"] \\
S_l \arrow[r, "et"] & S_{l-1} \times \mathbb{A}^1
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i_0 is an embedding as a zero section $S_{l-1} \times 0$. Since base change preserves étaleness, we have that $g\colon Z \to S_{l-1}$ is étale Then by universal property of S_{l-1} we get $h\colon S_{l-1} \to Z$ a section of g. Now take $\xi = r \circ h\colon S_{l-1} \to Z \to S_l$. Note that ξ is a section of π.
Now define s_2 as a morphism given by the universal property in the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_l \arrow[dr, dashed, "s_2"] \arrow[drr, "f"] \arrow[ddr, equal] & & \\
& \mathfrak{X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & U \arrow[d] \\
& S_l \arrow[r] & U'
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $f\colon S_l \to U$ is defined as the composition $f\colon S_l \overset{\pi}{\to} S_{l-1} \overset{\xi}{\to} S_l \overset{can}{\to} U$. It is easy to see that the sections s_1 and s_2 coincide in the closed point of S_l. Then theorem 1 implies that $\mathcal{F}(s_1) = \mathcal{F}(s_2)\colon \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathcal{F}(S_l)$. Note that the image of the canonical morphism $\mathcal{F}(W) \to \mathcal{F}(S_l)$ equals to the composition:

$$\mathcal{F}(W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{X}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(s_1)} \mathcal{F}(S_l).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}(s_1) = \mathcal{F}(s_2)$, this composition equals

$$\mathcal{F}(W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_l) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{F}(S_{l-1}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\pi)} \mathcal{F}(S_l).$$

So, the image of $\mathcal{F}(W)$ is contained in the image of $\mathcal{F}(S_{l-1})$. Then, by induction, it is contained in the image of $\mathcal{F}(Spec\ k)$. So $\mathcal{F}(\pi_l)\colon \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k) \to \mathcal{F}(S_l)$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, $\mathcal{F}(\imath)\colon \mathcal{F}(S_l) \to \mathcal{F}(\text{Spec } k)$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

6 Examples of Ω-presheaves

In this section we prove that the K-functor and algebraic cobordism Ω^*, defined by M. Levine and F. Morel in [7] give the examples of $\Omega-$presheaves.

K-theory. Consider a morphism $\alpha \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ represented by the triple $(X \overset{f}{\leftarrow} Z \overset{g}{\to} Y)$ Since $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, we have that g has finite Tor dimension and there is the pullback map $K'_*(Y) \to K'_*(Z)$. ([11], 7.2.5) Since f is finite, there is a pushforward map $f_*\colon K'_*(Z) \to K'_*(X)$ ([11], 7.2.7) Then we are able to give the following

6.1 Definition. For the morphism $\alpha \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ represented by the triple $(X \overset{f}{\leftarrow} Z \overset{g}{\to} Y)$ define $\alpha^*\colon K_*(Y) \to K_*(X)$ as the following composition:

$$\alpha^*\colon K_*(Y) = K'_*(Y) \overset{g^*}{\to} K'_*(Z) \overset{f_*}{\to} K'_*(X) = K_*(X).$$

6.2 Lemma. *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(Y,W)$. Then $(\beta\circ\alpha)^* = \beta^*\circ\alpha^*$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the statement for α and β defined by elementary triples. Suppose α is defined by $(X \xleftarrow{f_1} Z_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} Y)$ and β is defined by $(Y \xleftarrow{f_2} Z_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} W)$. We have a commutative diagram defining the composition $\beta\circ\alpha$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & Z_1\times_Y Z_2 \arrow[dl, "p_1"'] \arrow[dr, "p_2"] & & \\
 & Z_1 \arrow[dl, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, "g_1"] & & Z_2 \arrow[dl, "f_2"'] \arrow[dr, "g_2"] & \\
X & & Y & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since f_2 is a flat morphism, then $f_2\colon Z_2 \to Y$ and $g_1\colon Z_1 \to Y$ are Tor - independent. Then by proposition 7.2.11 of [11] we have $g_1^* f_{2*} = h_{1*}h_2^*$. Then

$$\beta^*\circ\alpha^* = f_{1*}g_1^* f_{2*}g_2^* = f_{1*}h_{1*}h_2^*g_2^* = (\beta\circ\alpha)^*.$$

$\square$

Since K'_* is additive with respect to disjoint unions([11],2.8), and homotopy invariant ([11], 7.4.1) we get

6.3 Proposition. *For any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, $\alpha \in Mor(\mathcal{C})$ the assignment $X \mapsto K_*(X)$, $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ gives us the homotopy invariant presheaf with Ω-transfers $K_*\colon \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathbf{Ab}$*

Algebraic cobordism. Consider a morphism $\alpha \in Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$ represented by the triple $(X \xleftarrow{f} Z \xrightarrow{g} Y)$. Denote $\dim X = d_1$ and $\dim Y = d_2$. In [7] there is constructed an extension of algebraic cobordism theory Ω^* to the Borel-Moore homology Ω_* on $\mathbf{Sch}_k$.
As we have mentioned in remark 2.2, g is an l.c.i. morphism. Then by definition 6.5.10([7]) there is the pullback $g^*\colon \Omega_*(Y) \to \Omega_{*+d_1-d_2}(Z)$, where Since f is finite, there is the pushforward map $f_*\colon \Omega_*(Z) \to \Omega_*(X)$. Since X and Y are smooth, we have that $\Omega_*(X) = \Omega^{d_1-*}(X)$ and $\Omega_*(Y) = \Omega^{d_2-*}(Y)$.

6.4 Definition. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ defined by the triple $(X \xleftarrow{f} Z \xrightarrow{g} Y)$ define the pullback map $\alpha^*\colon \Omega^*(Y) \to \Omega^*(X)$ as the composition

$$\Omega^*(Y) = \Omega_{d_2-*}(Y) \xrightarrow{g^*} \Omega_{d_1-*}(Z) \xrightarrow{f_*} \Omega_{d_1-*}(X) = \Omega^*(X).$$

6.5 Lemma. *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(X,Y)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(Y,W)$. Then $(\beta\circ\alpha)^* = \beta^*\circ\alpha^*$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the statement for α and β defined by elementary triples. Suppose α is defined by $(X \xleftarrow{f_1} Z_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} Y)$ and β is defined by $(Y \xleftarrow{f_2} Z_2 \xrightarrow{g_2} W)$. We have a commutative diagram defining the composition $\beta\circ\alpha$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & Z_1 \times_Y Z_2 \arrow[dl, "p_1"'] \arrow[dr, "p_2"] & & \\
& Z_1 \arrow[dl, "f_1"'] \arrow[dr, "g_1"] & & Z_2 \arrow[dl, "f_2"'] \arrow[dr, "g_2"] & \\
X & & Y & & W
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since f_2 is a flat morphism, then $f_2\colon Z_2 \to Y$ and $g_1\colon Z_1 \to Y$ are Tor-independent. Then by theorem 6.5.12([7]) we have $g_1^* f_{2*} = h_{1*} h_2^*$. Then

$$\beta^* \circ \alpha^* = f_{1*} g_1^* f_{2*} g_2^* = f_{1*} h_{1*} h_2^* g_2^* = (\beta \circ \alpha)^*.$$

$\square$

Since Ω^* is additive with respect to disjoint unions([7],2.4.13), and homotopy invariant ([7], 3.4.2) we get

6.6 Proposition. *For any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, $\alpha \in Mor(\mathcal{C})$ the assignment $X \mapsto \Omega^*(X)$, $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$ gives us the homotopy invariant presheaf with Ω-transfers $\Omega^*\colon \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathbf{Ab}$*

Acknowledgments I am grateful to Ivan Panin and Kirill Zainoulline for constant attention and useful suggestions concerning the subject of this paper. The research was supported by the Ontario Trillium Scholarship, NSERC grant 396100-2010 and the RFBR grant 12-01-33057.

References

[1] A. Altman, S. Kleiman *Introduction to Grothendieck Duality Theory.* Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[2] W. Fulton *Intersection theory.* Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[3] O. Gabber *K-theory of Henselian local rings and Henselian pairs.* Algebraic K-theory, Commutative Algebra, and Algebraic Geometry (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989), 5970, Contemp. Math., 126, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.

[4] H. Gillet, R. Thomason *The K-theory of strict Hensel local rings and a theorem of Suslin.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra 34 (1984), 241-254.

[5] R. Hartshorne *Algebraic Geometry.* Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[6] J. Hornbostel, S. Yagunov *Rigidity for Henselian local rings and A1-representable theories.* Math. Z. 255 (2007), no. 2, 437449.

[7] M. Levine, F. Morel *Algebraic Cobordism.* Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[8] J. Milne *Etale cohomology.* Princeton University Press,1980.

[9] I. Panin, A. Stavrova, N. Vavilov *On Grothendieck-Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over reductive group schemes: I.* Preprint (2009), http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0929/.

[10] I. Panin, S. Yagunov *Rigidity for orientable functors.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra, Vol. 172(1), (2002), 49-77.

[11] D. Quillen *Higher Algebraic K-theory I.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1973, Volume 341, 85-147.

[12] A. Suslin *On the K-theory of algebraically closed Fields.* Invent. Math. 73 (1983), 241-245. no. 2-3,

[13] O. Röndings, P. Østvær *Rigidity in motivic homotopy theory.* Math. Ann. 341 (2008), no. 3, 651-675.

[14] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky *Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties.* Invent. Math. Volume 123, Number 1 (1996),61-94.

[15] S. Yagunov *Rigidity. II. Non-orientable case.* Doc. Math. 9 (2004), 29-40.